PCT

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : G06F 17/30, H04L 29/08	A1	(11) International Publication Number: **WO 98/53411**
		(43) International Publication Date: 26 November 1998 (26.11.98)

(54) Title: A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTIMIZING THE DELIVERY OF AUDIO AND VIDEO DATA OVER A COMPUTER NETWORK

(57) Abstract

    Method and apparatus for optimizing delivery of digital content over a computer network. A user specifies through his browser the audio and video data he wants to playback on his screen. A plurality of servers on the network is presented to him, each of which containing at least one copy of specified digital content in at least one digital format. Relative electronic distance over the network between the user terminal and the identified servers are also given to the user in order to let him select one identified server having specified digital content in a designated format and which is determined to be electronically close to the user terminal.

A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTIMIZING THE DELIVERY

OF AUDIO AND VIDEO DATA OVER A COMPUTER NETWORK

5   The invention relates to a system and method for optimizing

the retrieval and playback of audio and video data

distributed via the Internet. More particularly, this

invention is directed to a software tool which allows the

user to: (a) select optimum delivery sites for more rapid

10   and error free delivery of audio/video data; (b) download

audio/video player software from distributed Internet

servers; (c) detect and update multimedia software

components; and (d) provide easy access to enriched

audio/video content.

15

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The Internet is a loose network of connected computers

spread throughout the world. A message can be sent from any

20   computer on the Internet to any other by specifying a

destination address and passing the message from computer to

computer via a series of "hops." Each computer, or "node,"

on the Internet has a unique Internet address. When an

intermediate computer receives a message in transit, the

computer checks the intended destination of the message and

passes it along accordingly.

5   The Internet is growing, in terms of both size and

sophistication, at a rapid rate. In the past, most users of

the Internet were academic, research, or institutional

users; the Internet was primarily used at that time to

transmit and receive electronic mail and network news and to

allow transfer of computer files.

10

However, since the introduction of the World Wide Web (also

known as the "Web" or the "WWW") several years ago, the

Internet has begun to host increasing amounts of other types

of data of general interest, namely representations of

15 images, articles, etc.

The Web presents a graphical user interface to the Internet.

"Web pages," often consisting primarily of text and

graphical material, are stored on numerous computers, known

20 as "Web servers," throughout the Internet. These Web pages

are generally described, in terms of layout and content, by

way of a language known as "HTML" (HyperText Markup

Language). Any particular computer linked to the Internet

can store one or more Web pages, i.e. computer files in HTML

25 format, for access by users.

A software program known as a "browser" can be used to
access and view Web pages across the Internet by specifying
the location (i.e. Internet address) of the desired Web
page, or more commonly, by "hotlinking" to Web pages. Two

5   of the most popular browsers are Microsoft Internet
Explorer, and Netscape Navigator.   The desired Web page is
specified by a uniform resource locator ("URL"), indicating
the precise location of the HTML file in the format
"http://internet.address/directory/filename.html".

10

Hotlinking is accomplished as follows. The user first
accesses a Web page having a known address, often on the
computer located at the user's ISP (Internet Service
Provider). The ISP is the organization providing Internet

15 connectivity to the user. That Web page can contain, in
addition to textual and visual data specified in HTML
format, "links," or embedded information (in the form of
URLs) pointing to the Internet addresses of other Web pages,
often on other computers throughout the Internet. The user,

20 by selecting a link (often by pointing and clicking with a
mouse), can then access other Web pages, which can in turn
contain further data and/or additional links. When a Web
page is accessed, its information is transmitted from the
remote computer, wherever in the world it may be located,

25 across the Internet, to the user.

In recent times, the Web has begun to host highly

sophisticated types of multimedia content, such as audio and

video data. Various extensions to HTML, such as Netscape's

EMBED tag, allow references to other data to be embedded

5   into Web pages. External programs, or "plug-ins," to the

browsers can be automatically invoked to handle the data as

it is received from the remote Web page.

Compared to first generation Web content, namely text and

10   still images, audio and video data have extremely high

storage and bandwidth requirements. In particular, video

files can be very large, from approximately 10 megabytes to

10 gigabytes. In order to play video files at speeds

approaching their recorded rate at a user's terminal, the

15   files have to be delivered at a fast, constant speed. Too

slow, and the image plays back slower than originally

recorded. If the speed is uneven, then the video appears

jerky, like an old-time movie.

20   At present, it is difficult, if not impossible, to provide

sustained high-speed transmission of large files over a

multi-node link on the Internet. Because the data is often

transferred from afar, many factors can cause the delay or

even loss of parts or all of a transmission. It is generally

25   not critical if a user experiences minor delays in receiving

small graphic or text files. However, real time data such

as video, has very specific and stringent transfer and

display timing requirements. Similarly, software plug-ins

or external programs must be received and downloaded intact

5   in order to be functional. This attribute, combined with

the rapid growth of the Web and the Internet in general, has

led to several problems.

There is now a high and increasing volume of Internet

10   traffic caused by Web page access, and the demand for

bandwidth threatens to exceed supply. Furthermore, certain

content on the Web is extremely popular. Because current

Internet technology provides Web pages from specific or

"dedicated" remote site or servers, the most popular sites

15   are often overloaded. According to current Internet

technology, each response to a user request is transmitted

separately. In other words, if one hundred users request

transmission of the same Web page at the same time, one

hundred separate transmissions must be made to these users.

20   Because many of these popular Web pages are often being

transmitted across many nodes on the Internet, there can be

substantial duplication, delays and lost requests, for both

the requested data and other, unrelated data being

transmitted over the same routes. If a Web server

25   containing video data receives many simultaneous requests

for its ability to transfer all of the files at full speed

is impaired.

It is recognized that inadequate data transfer performance

5   of real time data on the Internet is typically caused by

three factors: packet loss, excessive server utilization,

and the relatively low capacity of the network

infrastructure. Packet loss, in particular, is caused by

inadequate network infrastructure and lack of robustness in

10   routing.

Unlike smaller text and graphic files, relatively large

video files can take several minutes (or more) of constant

data flow so the usual network performance problems are

15   exacerbated. Network bandwidth, or the data-carrying

capacity of a particular network, is limited. Consequently,

packet loss increases. Long delivery times consume a large

amount of server capacity for a long time, decreasing the

resources available to other users. Accordingly, because

20   the network infrastructure becomes increasingly congested,

packet loss continues to increase, transmission times rise,

and server load increases further.

This pattern exemplifies a "downward spiral" of network

25   performance driven by the attempted transmission of large

amounts of video-type data traffic. As long as network

traffic remains within the limits imposed by network

bandwidth, network performance will remain acceptable.

However, whenever peak network loads exceed capacity, the

5   downward spiral described above will begin, causing

increasing periods of poor network performance.

In an attempt to solve some of the foregoing problems,

content providers will spread popular content around the

10   Internet on various servers or delivery sites known as

"mirror sites." Each mirror site contains information that

is identical to that of the original site. For example, if

a popular Web site is located in New York, mirror sites

might be located in Los Angeles, London, and Tokyo.

15   Accordingly, if a European user is having difficulty

accessing the New York original site, he can hotlink to the

mirror site that is geographically closest, i.e. London.

However, mirror sites are not necessarily ideally placed on

20   the network. Although mirror sites may be geographically

widely distributed, they might not be sufficiently separated

on the network. Returning to the foregoing example, the New

York original Web site and the Los Angeles mirror site might

both be connected to the same national Internet service

provider's network. If that is the case, then difficulty in

accessing one of the sites might also affect another.

Furthermore, the mirror sites might not be optimally placed

5   to reduce load on each server. Although an "educated guess"

might be made as to where a mirror site should be located,

actual usage patterns might differ. Furthermore, there is

no guarantee of enhanced performance. The bandwidth of the

mirror site might be lower than that of the original site,

10 or it might be overloaded for other reasons.

Understanding the dynamics and performance variables of the

network providing connectivity between the user and servers

could provide insight into the proper placement of data

15 delivery sites, including mirror sites. Large disparities

have been observed in the rate of data transmission between

a user and different mirror sites. This observation

provides some evidence that mirror sites, and delivery sites

in general, are not ideally placed or utilized and that

20 existing Internet resources are not used as efficiently as

is possible. If the performance characteristics of the

network were better understood, it might be possible to

identify available bandwidth on the Internet which could be

used to efficiently transmit video data while still taking

advantage of the existing low cost pricing scheme of the

Internet.

Currently, there is no guidance in selecting optimal

5   locations for delivery or mirror sites or methodology that

permits a user to determine which mirror site to connect to

that will ensure optimum performance. Traditional network

analysis techniques such as the "ping" and "traceroute"

programs offer a view of network connectivity but provide

10   little understanding of what performance can be expected

from providers and mirror sites across the Internet.

Therefore, only "educated guesses" can be made as to where

delivery or mirror sites should be located or which mirror

sites should be used to optimize performance. Accordingly,

15   a need exists for a method of determining overall network

performance and applying that method in a system which

enables content providers to locate delivery or mirror sites

at optimum locations and users to select optimum mirror

sites so that the transmission and delivery of audio/video

20   data and multimedia software over the Internet can be

optimized.

Another problem not resolved by the optimum placement of

mirror or delivery sites relates to the distribution and

25   storage of audio/video data and multimedia software programs

for retrieving and playing back audio/video data. Before a

video can be transmitted over a computer network, the video

must be digitized by encoding the video's analog signal to

"1s" and "0s." In order to reduce the bandwidth required to

5   transmit the digitized video, the video data stream is

compressed. Video compression is a process by which

redundant data is eliminated from the video data stream so

that the overall size of the data stream is reduced. There

are many different compression formats which are used to

10   reduce video data streams, i.e., MPEG, JPEG, H261, Indeo,

Cinepak, AVI, Quicktime, TrueMotion and Wavelet.

Videos which are transmitted and received in a compressed

format must be decompressed before they can be viewed.

15   Decompression of a video is done by a video player codec

located at a user's multimedia terminal, usually as a plug-

in to the browser. A codec can only recognize and

decompress a single compression format.

20   When the video clip requested by a user is stored for

transmission in a format which can be decompressed by the

codec at the user terminal, delivery of the video clip to

the user can proceed smoothly. This is typically the

situation where a subscription service provides video

25   delivery over a confined network. The service will provide

the subscribing user with a preferred codec and then store
video clips in the same compression format recognized by
that codec.

5   When the service begins to make video clips available over
the Internet, it is more likely that the video clips will be
requested by a non-subscribing user whose multimedia
terminal does not have a codec that recognizes the
compression format in which the video clips are stored. If
10   this is the case, the user's video request must be
redirected to a video clip stored in a compression format
recognized by the user's codec or the user must acquire or
download a codec program which is capable of decompressing
the desired video clip.   In many cases, the video request
15   cannot be redirected to a video clip with a recognizable
format because video clips are not stored in a variety of
compression formats due to their large size and the limited
storage capacity of the server.   In this situation,
downloading a new codec is the only alternative.
20
A codec program is usually accessible via a link embedded in
the web page referencing the requested video. Thus, it is
possible to select and download the codec program via the
Internet.   When a user requests a video clip referenced on a
25   web page, the web page usually prompts the user to acquire

the codec necessary to decompress the format of the

requested video. In order to acquire the codec, the user is

forced to jump to a different web page, find the codec,

download and install the codec and then try to relocate the

5   web page with the link to the originally requested video

file. Each time the user selects a video in a format not

recognized by a codec at the user's terminal, the process

must be repeated. Thus, it is possible that a user terminal

may ultimately store a number of different codecs in

10   memory.

Most video content providers are constantly enhancing the

performance characteristics of their video data and as they

do, the codecs which recognize those videos are updated to

15   take advantage of the video enhancement features. In order

for a user to determine whether or not her codec needs to be

updated or whether an upgraded version of the codec has been

released, the user must locate the web page of the codec

provider, compare the information on that page with his own

20   system properties, determine whether he has the latest

update or version and then proceed with downloading the

latest update or version to the user's terminal.   If the

user wants to keep his multimedia software updated, this

process must be repeated for each of the codecs stored in

25   memory.

Finally, enriched or enhanced video files are distributed

randomly across the Internet at the discretion of the

content provider. There is no single source viewer's guide

5   that advises the user of the location of enhanced video

files or the availability of improved multimedia software

that can be used to view enhanced video files nor a single

source program that enables the user to access all of the

data. Web pages embedding references to video files are

10   usually encountered by chance when a user "surfs" the

Internet. If a user finds a web page referencing a video

file and opens it, he may encounter a link to a content

provider or video delivery service that provides access to a

list of videos in a particular compression format and a link

15   to a codec that can be used to view the videos carried by

that provider. However, these content providers do not

store videos and codecs in multiple formats and they do not

provide links to differently formatted video content or

multimedia software stored at other sites around the

20   Internet.

Thus, a need can be seen for a solution that deals with all

of the aforementioned problems in a comprehensive way such

that improved access to the best video content is assured

25   and the user's enjoyment of Internet-delivered audio and

video is maximized. Accordingly, a solution is provided

herein by way of the following described invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5

A system and method is provided whereby a primary software

program encoded on computer readable medium can be accessed

via a link embedded on a web page referencing a video clip.

When the user accesses the web page with the embedded link,

10   the user can download the primary program to the user's

terminal and use its functionality to: (a) download and

launch a software tool which conducts network tests for

locating servers that are "electronically close" to the user

so that audio or video data and multimedia software can be

15   delivered to the user via a high performance network

connection; (b) download multimedia software from

distributed servers on the Internet and install the software

to a user's terminal; (c) analyze and update multimedia

software at the user terminal including the primary program

20   and the network testing software tool ; and (d) direct the

user to audio/video data, multimedia software and software

updates distributed on servers throughout the Internet.

When the user accesses a web page referencing the primary

25   program, a script component of the primary program embedded

in the web page query's the user's browser and system

components to detect for the presence of the network testing

software tool. If the tool is not detected, the user will

be queried by the page to download the primary program for

5 the purpose of optimizing the user's video delivery system

components.   If the user elects to download the primary

program, the program can be downloaded as a plug-in to the

browser or as a stand-alone program.

10 After downloading, the primary program will initially

install and launch the network testing software tool which

will be used to locate servers that are "electronically

close" to the user terminal.   The primary program will

download a "delivery site file" from a multimedia database

15 manager maintained by the service provider to the network

testing tool.   The delivery site file will contain a list of

server sites that store multimedia software and upgrades

that will be used by the primary program to upgrade the

user's multimedia system components.   Whenever the user

20 elects to upgrade or install multimedia components to his

system the primary program will activate the network testing

tool and the tool will conduct a series of network

performance tests to determine from the list of servers

storing the data required by the primary program, which

server can deliver the necessary data over the least

congested network path to the user's terminal.

The primary program will also comprise a multimedia software

5   upgrade file (MSU) which is downloaded from the multimedia

database manager of the service provider. The MSU file

contains a list of multimedia software and upgrades located

on the Internet and a list of servers from which the data

can be obtained.

10

After installation of the network testing tool is complete,

the primary program will analyze the user's system resources

to determine what multimedia software is stored by the

system. The primary program can compare the list of the

15   user's multimedia software with the list of software

upgrades contained in the primary program's MSU files.

Based on this comparison, the primary program can advise the

user as to the availability of upgrades which can be used to

enhance multimedia software pre-existing on the user's

20   terminal and also the availability of new multimedia

software that is not present on the user's system. The user

then has the option to upgrade her existing multimedia

software or download new multimedia software. If the user

requests new software or software upgrades, the primary

25   program will use the network testing tool to determine the

best server site to retrieve the data from.   Once the

primary program receives the data, the primary program will

install the software or software upgrades on the user's

terminal.

5

The primary program can determine what aspects of the

upgrades or software programs are required for functionality

and selectively install only those attributes thus

conserving storage space.   In some cases, the primary

10   program may uninstall old software and install a new version

of the software rather than an upgrade if doing so

facilitates the download and installation of multimedia

software in a way that is transparent to the user.   In cases

where the primary program must close and reopen the browser

15   to permit the installation of software, the primary program

will reopen the browser and bring the user back to the web

page containing the original video request.

In order to encourage the user to run the primary program

20   and upgrade his existing multimedia software or install new

software, the primary program will provide a link to a "most

recent release" video directory maintained by the multimedia

database manager.   The video directory will provide links to

video data stored anywhere on the Internet.   Each video

25   reference in the directory will indicate the types of

software, i.e., player codecs necessary to view that video.
By referencing the list, the user can determine what type of
multimedia software to store on his system.

5   Once the user has upgraded his multimedia system components
and selects a video from the video directory maintained by
the multimedia database manager, the multimedia database
manager will download a video delivery site file to the
network testing tool. The delivery site file will contain a
10   list of servers that store the requested video and a list of
network performance tests to run. The network testing tool
will then conduct the network performance tests and
determine which server can deliver the video over the least
congested route. The video is then downloaded to the user's
15   terminal and viewed on the updated player software.

The multimedia database manager maintains an updated list of
all multimedia software upgrades and video available on the
Internet. Each time the user elects to run the primary
20   program, the primary program will download the updated list
from the multimedia database manager, compare the list to
the system components previously installed and advise the
user of any upgrades that could be installed. Once the
system test is complete, the primary program will direct the
25   user to the updated video directory maintained by the

multimedia database manager so the user can select a video.
In this way, the user is assured of receiving the best and
most enriched video in the fastest most efficient way
possible.

5

WE CLAIM:

1. A method of optimizing delivery of digital content over a computer network comprising the steps of:

specifying digital content for delivery to a user terminal over a computer network;

identifying a plurality of servers on the network, each of which has at least one copy of specified digital content in at least one digital format;

determining the relative electronic distance over the network between the user terminal and one or more identified servers;

selecting at least one identified server that is determined to be electronically close to the user terminal; and

delivering specified digital content over the network from at least one selected server to the user terminal.

2. A method of claim 1, additionally comprising the step of designating a digital format from a set of formats, and wherein specified digital content is delivered in that format.

3. A method of claim 2, wherein the set of digital formats is provided by querying at least one database of available formats.

4. A method according to claim 3, wherein a database indicates the digital formats of the copies of specified digital content on each of the identified servers.

5. A method according to claim 3, wherein a database indicates the digital formats which can be interpreted at the user terminal.

6.   A method according to claim 4, wherein a database indicates the digital formats which can be interpreted at the user terminal.

7.   A method according to claim 2, wherein the set of digital formats comprises the formats which can be interpreted at the user terminal.

8.   A method according to claim 2, wherein the set of digital formats comprises the formats of the copies of specified digital content on each of the identified servers.

9.   A method according to claim 2, wherein the set of digital formats comprises the formats which can be interpreted at the user terminal.

10.   A method according to claim 2, wherein the set of digital formats comprises those formats of the copies of specified digital content on each of the identified servers which can be interpreted at the user terminal.

11.   A method of optimizing delivery of digital content over a computer network comprising the steps of:
      specifying digital content for delivery to a user terminal over a computer network;
      identifying a plurality of servers on the network, each of which has at least one copy of specified digital content in at least one digital format;
      designating a digital format from a set of available formats;
      determining the relative electronic distance over the network between the user terminal and one or more identified servers;

21

selecting at least one identified server having specified digital content in a designated format, and which is determined to be electronically close to the user terminal; and

delivering specified digital content in a designated format and over the network, from at least one selected server to the user terminal.

12. A method of claim 11, wherein a set of available formats is established by indicating each of the formats of the copies of specified digital content on the identified servers.

13. A method of claim 12, wherein a designated digital format is an available format which can be interpreted by the user terminal.

14. A method of claim 11, additionally comprising the steps of

querying at least one local storage device accessible to the user terminal for a compatible codec which can interpret a designated format.

15. A method of claim 14, additionally comprising supplying at least one compatible codec from a source selected from the group consisting of a local storage device accessible to the user terminal and a server on the network.

16. A method of claim 11, additionally comprising

querying at least one storage device accessible to the user terminal for a compatible codec which can interpret a designated format;

querying at least one server on the network for a compatible codec which can interpret a designated format; and

supplying at least one compatible codec from a source selected from the group consisting of a local storage device accessible to the user terminal and a server on the network.

17. A method according to claim 16, additionally comprising

identifying a plurality of servers having a compatible codec;

determining the relative electronic distance over the network between the user terminal and one or more identified servers having compatible codecs;

selecting at least one identified server having a compatible codec and which is determined to be electronically close to the user terminal; and

delivering the codec over the network, from at least one selected server to the user terminal.

18. A method according to claim 16, wherein a compatible codec is supplied from a server when a compatible codec is not found on an accessible local storage device.

19. A method according to claim 16, wherein a compatible codec is updated from a server on the network.

20. A method according to any of claims 1, 2, and 11 wherein the digital content is selected from the group consisting of software, audio content, visual content, graphic content, text content, and any combination thereof.

21. A method according to any of claims 1, 2, and 11 wherein the network is the world wide web.

22.   A method according claim 1 wherein the step of specifying digital content includes the step of querying a database of available content.

23.   A method according claim 2 wherein the step of specifying digital content includes the step of querying a database of available content.

24.   A method according claim 11 wherein the step of specifying digital content includes the step of querying a database of available content.

25.   A method according to claim 1 wherein the step of identifying a plurality of servers includes the step of querying a database of available servers.

26.   A method according to claim 2 wherein the step of identifying a plurality of servers includes the step of querying a database of available servers.

27.   A method according to claim 11 wherein the step of identifying a plurality of servers includes the step of querying a database of available servers.

28.   A method according to claim 1 wherein the determining step includes the step of delivering a test file over the network from at least one identified server to the user terminal.

29.   A method according to claim 2 wherein the determining step includes the step of delivering a test file over the network from at least one identified server to the user terminal.

30. A method according to claim 11 wherein the determining step includes the step of delivering a test file over the network from at least one identified server to the user terminal.

31. A method according to claim 30, wherein electronic distance is determined at least in part by evaluating delivery of the test file for one or both of speed and accuracy.

32. A system for optimizing delivery of digital content over a computer network comprising:
a user terminal in contact with a computer network and having a record of specified digital content for delivery to the user terminal;
a plurality of servers on the network, each of which is identified as having at least one copy of specified digital content in at least one digital format; a testing tool for determining the relative electronic distance over the network between the user terminal and one or more identified servers; and
a downloading tool for delivering digital content from one or more servers on the network to the user terminal.

33. A system according to claim 32, additionally comprising at least one of a database of available digital content and a database of available digital formats.

34. A system according to claim 32, additionally comprising a test file on each of the identified servers.

35. A system according to claim 34, wherein the testing tool employs a downloading tool to deliver the test file from at least one identified server to the user terminal.

36. A system according to claim 35, wherein one or both of the speed and accuracy of delivering the test file is evaluated.

37. A system according to claim 32, wherein the network is the world wide web and the downloading tool comprises internet browser software.

38. A system according to claim 32, wherein the digital content is selected from the group consisting of software, audio content, visual content, graphic content, text content, and any combination thereof.

39. A system according to claim 32, wherein the digital content comprises comprises a compatible codec which can interpret at least one digital format of the designated digital data.

40. A system according to claim 39, wherein a compatible codec is delivered from an identified server to a local storage device accessible to the user terminal.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6   G06F17/30   H04L29/08

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6   G06F   H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P,X	WO 98 40831 A (INTERVU INC) 17 September 1998 see abstract see page 1, line 19 - line 31 see page 12, line 23 - line 36 ---	1-40
X	WO 96 41285 A (INTERVU INC) 19 December 1996 see abstract see page 1, line 13 - line 16 see page 7, line 23 - line 31 see page 41, line 27 - line 28 ---	1,32
	-/--	

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.   [X] Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
20 October 1998	28/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Adkhis, F

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	BERRA P B ET AL: "ARCHITECTURE FOR DISTRIBUTED MULTIMEDIA DATABASE SYSTEMS" COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 13, no. 4, 1 May 1990, pages 217-231, XP000126283 see abstract see page 225, right-hand column, line 1 - line 10 see page 226, left-hand column, line 12 - line 24 see page 226, right-hand column, line 33 - line 39 see page 227, left-hand column, line 13 - line 24 see page 227, right-hand column, line 5 - line 23 -----	1,32

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9840831	A	17-09-1998	NONE		
WO 9641285	A	19-12-1996	AU	6113996 A	30-12-1996
			EP	0834143 A	08-04-1998